

REMARKS

This is in response to the Office Action mailed March 26, 2007. The Office Action was a restriction requirement between the method as defined by claims 21-38 and the apparatus as defined by claims 39 and 40. Applicant responsively elects the method as defined by claims 21-38, without traverse. Claim 39 is canceled. A new method claim 41 is added. Claim 40 is amended to depend from claim 41.

The Office Action further included a species restriction between the different outlier embodiments of:

- I. Figures 1 and 3-6
- II. Figures 2 and 7
- III. Figures 8-10.

Applicant responsively elects the outlier embodiment of Figures 8-10, without traverse. All of claims 21-38, 40 and 41 read on the elected embodiment.

The application containing claims 21-38, 40 and 41 is in condition for initial examination. Consideration and initial examination is respectfully requested. The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below if such a call would in any way assist in allowance of the application.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge payment of any additional fees associated with this paper or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-2998.

Respectfully submitted,

SHEWCHUK IP SERVICES, LLC

Date: August 10, 2007

By: /JDS/

Jeffrey D. Shewchuk, Reg. No. 37,235
3356 Sherman Ct., Ste. 102
Eagan, MN 55121
Telephone: (651) 331-9558
Fax: (651) 688-3348

JDS